

OCR CORRESPONDENCE

OFFICE OF THE EXECUTIVE
SECRETARIAT, UGDA

NRCS
ACTION OFFICE

Ref: *2005-DEC-27*

P. 4:29

Prepare for Signature of

— 29

— OCR

— Secretary

— Subcabinet

— 05

☒ Within the App

5115514

F A C S I M I L E

Judd Gregg

United States Senator • New Hampshire
125 North Main Street, Concord, NH 03301
(603) 225-7115 • (603) 224-0198 (fax)



TO: Ass. Secretary Mary Waters
Office of Congressional Affairs

OF: USDA

FAX NUMBER: 202-720-8077

FROM: Matt Leahy

DATE: 12/23/05

PAGES TO FOLLOW: 3

NOTE:

Thank you for your attention to the attached letter from Senator Gregg. I would appreciate if someone could call me to confirm receipt of this fax.

JUDD GREGG
NEW HAMPSHIRE

OFFICES:

125 NORTH MAIN STREET
CONCORD, NH 03301
(603) 225-7115

41 HOOKSETT ROAD, UNIT 2
MANCHESTER, NH 03104
(603) 622-7979

60 PLEASANT STREET
BERLIN, NH 03570
(603) 752-2604

16 PEASE BOULEVARD
PORTSMOUTH, NH 03801
(603) 431-2171

COMMITTEES:

BUDGET, Chairman

APPROPRIATIONS

HEALTH, EDUCATION, LABOR
AND PENSIONS

United States Senate

WASHINGTON, DC 20510-2904

(202) 224-3324

Reply to:
Concord Office

December 22, 2005


Ms. Mary Waters
Asst. Secretary For Congressional Relations
Department of Agriculture
1400 Independence Ave., S.W., 213A Whitten Building
Washington, DC 20250

Dear Ms. Waters:

Enclosed is a letter I received from Charles Bridges, Supervisor for Habitat and Diversity Programs at the NH Fish and Game Department, regarding the reauthorization of the Farm Bill. Specifically, the Fish and Game Department believes conservation programs like the Wildlife Habitat Incentives Program, Wetlands Reserve Program, Environmental Quality Incentives Program and Forest Legacy have been critical tools in encouraging and improving conservation on private farm and forestlands.

In his letter, Mr. Bridges outlines several approaches the Department believes will improve the effectiveness of those programs. I would appreciate the USDA giving these suggestions close consideration. Thank you and I look forward to your response.

Sincerely,



Judd Gregg
U.S. Senator

JC/ml

Enclosure



New Hampshire Fish and Game Department

11 Hazen Drive, Concord, NH 03301-6500
Headquarters: (603) 271-3421
Web site: www.wildlife.state.nh.us

TDD Access: Relay NH 1-800-735-2964
Fax (603) 271-1438
E-mail: info@wildlife.state.nh.us

Lee E. Parry
Executive Director

December 2, 2005

Mike Johanns
Secretary of Agriculture
Farm Bill
1400 Independence Ave., SW
Washington, DC 20250-355

Dear Secretary Johanns;

Thank you for providing the opportunity to comment on the reauthorization of the Farm Bill. As you are aware, Farm Bill conservation programs like the Wildlife Habitat Incentives Program, Wetland Reserve Program, Environmental Quality Incentives Program, Forest Legacy and others have been extremely useful in improving conservation on private farm and forestlands. These voluntary, incentive-based programs provide the framework for "win-win" solutions for farmers, forestland owners, and the public. Given their often tight profit margins, farmers and forestland owners benefit from additional income from these programs while the public benefits by having better water quality and quantity, improved soil quality and wildlife habitat, and more land for outdoor recreation.

The New Hampshire Fish & Game Department strongly supports the reauthorization of all conservation programs at funding levels that will keep them strong and successful. If appropriately funded, these programs can greatly assist states in achieving fish and wildlife conservation objectives, and in implementing strategies of state Wildlife Action Plans, while also promoting and protecting agricultural and forestland production.

Even though these programs have been highly successful, there are a number of ways in which these programs could be modified to improve their effectiveness.

- 1) Make wildlife a coequal priority with soil and water conservation in all phases of farm bill programs. Although wildlife is often cited at the national level as a program goal, wildlife does not always get incorporated into program implementation at the state level (e.g. EQIP). Wildlife is a public resource just like soil and water. As such, wildlife should have the same weighting as soil and water conservation in program eligibility requirements and prioritizing projects for implementation across the country.
- 2) State NRCS offices should make every effort to enter into technical assistance agreements with state fish & wildlife agencies. State fish & wildlife agencies have the statutory authority to manage fish and wildlife resources. They have trained staff with the expertise to assist landowners with planning and implementing habitat projects that will have the most benefit for wildlife. To increase the likelihood of these agreements,


Conserving New Hampshire's wildlife and their habitats since 1865.

the 2007 Farm Bill should significantly reduce the 50% non-federal match requirement to enter into these agreements. At a time when most state fish & wildlife agencies in the country are facing budget shortfalls in the near future, it is nearly impossible for most of them to meet the current match requirement.

- 3) Authorize NRCS to have at least two years to obligate funds. Currently state NRCS offices need to obligate funds during the fiscal year that they are appropriated. Appropriations are often handed down 2-3 months into the fiscal year, and unobligated funds are taken in April and transferred to other states to ensure all funds are used. Quite literally, NRCS offices have only 6-7 months to obligate millions of dollars. This meager time frame does not allow for adequate, thoughtful planning at both the program and project level, especially given existing staffing levels.
- 4) Remove program barriers that prohibit forestland owners from being eligible for assistance. Although the 2002 Farm Bill language does include non-industrial private forestland among eligible lands in most conservation programs, forestlands are not always eligible at the state level. For instance, New Hampshire is the only state in the Northeast that allows forestlands to be enrolled in EQIP. Being that the Northeast is nearly 70% forested, it is imperative that these types of enrollment barriers at the state level be removed.
- 5) Create and authorize funding for a program that provides for cooperative and collaborative monitoring and evaluation of conservation programs. In recent years, more and more politicians have been asking questions such as, "Are Farm Bill Conservation Programs working? Are they accomplishing prescribed goals?" Answering these questions would require science-based monitoring programs at regional and national levels. Yet, under current policies, no Farm Bill monies can be expended to monitor program success.

Farm Bill conservation programs are very important for helping to conserve New Hampshire's fish and wildlife resources. By strengthening funding levels and modifying program policies, these programs can go even further towards maintaining healthy fish and wildlife populations well into the future.

Sincerely,



Charles Bridges
Habitat & Diversity Programs Supervisor

Cc: Sen. Judd Gregg
Sen. John Sununu
Rep. Charles Bass
Rep. Jebb Bradley